

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,566	12/07/2001	Michael J. Markowski	N0391/7007 SJH	4319	
23628	7590 01/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC			CHARLES, DEBRA F		
	RESERVE PLAZA TIC AVENUE		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON, N	/A 02210-2211		3624		
			DATE MAILED: 01/27/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/010,566	MARKOWSKI, MIC	CHAEL J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Debra F. Charles	3624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply	/ 10 055 TO 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication (s) filed on 07 De	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 December 2001</u> .					
•=) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	1 Office Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 		; 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attach ant/a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T latan 2	Summon (DTO 442)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Art Unit: 3624

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4, 9 and 14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims 1-3, 6-8 and 11-13. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4,9 and 14 will not been further treated on the merits. There should only be one dependent claim relationship.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1,4,5, 6,9,10, 11 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masand et al.(U.S.Pub.
 2002/0095362A1) and Langseth et al. (U.S.PAT. 6662195B1).

Re claims 1, 6 and 11: Masand et al. disclose apparatus(para. 0042), a computer program product comprising a computer-readable medium having encoded therein computer program instructions for execution by a server computer(para. 0042, 0048), and a method for assisting an individual using a client computer to analyze a domain-specific parameter(para. 0047, 0057-0064), comprising:

a server computer executing computer program instructions to

(1) receive from the client computer an identification of a parameter to be analyzed(para. 0026,0027),

(2) obtain from a data source a current value for the parameter(para. 0040, 0041).

Masand et al. disclose(s) the claimed invention except (3) provide to the user computer an evaluation of the current value and an explanation of a possible significance of that evaluation. However, in col. 11, lines 1-45 thereof, Langseth et al. disclose(s) analyst and broker recommendations that effectively do provide an evaluation of the current situation and also expresses the importance of the current situation on future activity. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Masand et al. based on the teachings of Langseth et al. The motivation to combine these references is to effectively and efficiently enable suggested strategies based on existing parameters.

Re claims 4, 9, and 14. Masand et al. disclose(s) the claimed invention except to provide a reference to one or more additional

Art Unit: 3624

tools or parameters that might beneficially be studied next. However, in col. 11, lines 1-45 thereof, Langseth et al. disclose(s) analyst and broker recommendations that effectively do provide an evaluation of the current situation and also expresses the importance of the current situation on future activity. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Masand et al. based on the teachings of Langseth et al. The motivation to combine these references is to effectively and efficiently enable suggested strategies based on existing parameters.

Re claims 5, 10 and 15. Masand et al. disclose(s) parameter is a performance characteristic of a publicly traded security(para. 0026,0027).

3. Claims 2,3,7,8, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masand et al. and Langseth et al. as applied to claim 1, 6 and 11 above, and further in view of Bieganski et al. (U.S.PAT. 6334127B1).

Art Unit: 3624

Masand et al. and Langseth et al. disclose(s) the claimed invention except dividing into broad bands a range the parameter values have experienced over a predetermined period of time, and relating the current value in terms of said bands. And wherein providing an evaluation further includes providing a possible or likely significance of the value falling within a specific band. However, in the Abstract, col. 8, line 1 – col. 13, line 10, Bieganski et al. disclose frequency distributions which are ranges of outcomes. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Masand et al. and Langseth et al. based on the teachings of Bieganski et al. The motivation to combine these references is to effectively and efficiently enable suggested strategies based on existing parameters.

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ray et al. (U.S.PAT. 6018722A) discloses a S.E.C. registered individual account investment advisor expert system.

Saladin et al. (U.S.PAT. 5262941A) discloses an expert credit recommendation method and system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra F. Charles whose telephone number is (703) 305-4718. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent A. Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vines Melli

VINCENT MILLIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Debra F. Charles Examiner Application/Control Number: 10/010,566

Art Unit: 3624

Art Unit 3624

Page 7
